App F IX 4]

WD2239.B490.

1924. (Miscl.)

File No. 155-24

Serial Nos. 1 – 8

Previous References.
Dorig 238-19 1-5
" 182-18 1-2

Later references.
Dorig. 142-25 1-2
223-26-2-3
(G 357-3X 1-6)

GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. 7

Part B for June 1925

SUBJECT

Recommends the sale of the right of occupancy of the Inam lands in Nagamahally, Mysore Taluq, belonging to Sri Prasanna Ranjundeswara Swamy Temple at Sandepet, Mysore.

G.O 88-1745 dated 8-6-25

To the Dorig. Comr
" Survey Superintendent

Govt are of opinion that the better course will be to cancel the Inam tenure of the lands and have the amount of the assessment treated as a cash grant to the institutions.

G. R S. 11] 1916.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Inwards)

NOTES.

File No. 65 1916

FILE HEADING.

Sale of the Right of occupancy of the Lands in Nagenahalli, Mysore Taluq, belonging to Sri Prasanna Narasimheswara Swami Temple at Sanabepete Mysore.

From

The Dist. Commr.

No. 602 Dated 3.12.16 Received 5.12.16

Diary No.	Enclos 1 Pc	Spare Copies -	Serial No.
8015			

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Recommends Sanction to sell the Rights of occupancy of the said Lands to Messrs. Balwant Singh and Raghunatha Rao, Mysore, under Stipulated Conditions, the right to enhancement & ascertaining

NOTES AND ORDERS.

above Rs 8/- per acre according to Survey valuation at a settlement ~~to be~~ reserved & the Engle, ~~to~~ terms are accepted, ~~orders~~ issue!

NOTES—First Sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 155 of 1924

Serial No.

The Dy Comr's Interim Report. Letter explains the facts of the case.

The darkhastdars have expressed willingness to buy the entire extent (21 - 20 a 8 according to the Dy. Comr's) at Rs 200 per acre, and have agreed to pay half the purchase money at once, and for the remaining half, they ask for 5 years' time in view of the heavy outlay to improve the water facility and also to render the land fit for wet cultivation and that till then, they agree to pay interest at 7½% on the hypothecation of the said lands in favor of the Govt. They further agree to pay to the temple, from February 1926 a kanikkai amounting to Rs 1/- per acre annually, before the end of March for the said lands. (As security for the Darkhast, they have tendered a currency note for Rs 100/-.

In para 4 of his Comm, the Dy. Comr discusses the financial effect of the proposal which, he says, is expected to amount to Rs 500/- increasing an increase more than the future that could be expected.

Note—Contn. Sheet

File No 155 of 1924 (3)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

The Dy. Comr. adds that he is making enquiries about the recovery of the arrears due from the late lessee Harikara Ramanna.

Submitted for orders.

AA 12-12-24

2 The arrangement proposed by the Dy. Comr. is recommended by the Agent. Council should be asked to give sanction to the proposal, if the principle involved in it is considered to?

Sd. A. Marks Sanction.

12-12-24

13.12.24

Rec'd Secy

4/23/16.12.24

16.12.24

3 Are the Landowners Question now treated as Devadaya Inam? If so can they apply annual grant or Sanad and pay a fixed assessment. What is the latest assessment of the Lands - if any - and how much

17/12/24

GV 19/12/24

18/12/24

19.12.24

4 Dft. Submitted (Full circumstances is not available in the records)

20/12/24 22/12/24 20/12/24 None

20.12.24. 23.12.24.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mwz. File No. 155 of 1924.

Serial No.	
2	To the Mwzpsi Ummr. No. 963 d. 2.1.25. Calls for further particulars regarding the lands in question.
3.	From Harikar Kamanna. Petition d. 29.12.24. states that he was the lessee of the Nagenahalli lands endowed to Sri Prasanna Rajyeshwara Swami temple in Srirangapatna and has suffered losses to an extent of Rs. 2000/- and prays that the lands may be sold to him at Rs 210/- per acre. 8 Rev. Secretary's order:— "Rejs. at once". The connected file is submitted. Further information has been called for from the Mwz. Comr. The Mwz. Comr has recommended the sale of these lands, but orders have not been passed on this point. If the sale is to be sanctioned, the present offer is certainly more advantageous to the temple as the Petitioner does not only agree to pay Rs 10/- more per acre, but also to deposit the total value in the beginning. The file may be laid before the Hon'ble Minister in connection with the Report called for by his Endorsement dated 31.12.24.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

AG 3.1.25 Rev. Secy

3.1.25

Serial No

The Munsif Court may be consulted
~~and~~ I know the Court - about
an interview of the said
of the ~~my~~ case from Mysore
Court Pasupina valmi -
the ~~my~~ - valmi -
Inam ~~to~~ - ~~my~~
in Vishnu Swamy -

5/1

5.1.25.

5/1 (1/2)

12 The Court may be contacted
as ~~proposed~~ by the Secy

Regd

5/1

13

D/ Sri Sathiya.

7.1.25 - 7.1.25

Issue

7.1.25

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mercy. File No. 155 of 1924.

Serial No.	
4	To the Mercy. Commr. No. 1009/d. 9.1.25. 2nd the above petn. for an early report with his opinion thereon.
5.	From, the Mercy. Comr. Letter no. C. 602 Dy 16.2.25. Submits further report in the matter. 1st the Mercy. Comr. reports that the Land in question is in the name of the Deity as inam paying Local, Railway and irrigation cesses & Govt. - the extent and assessment of the Lands are noted in his letter. 16 The Mercy. Comr. is of opinion that Ramanna's offer vide p. 4 in Sl. 3, does not deserve any serious consideration individually as he failed to compare to the conditions of the lease granted to him previously. 17 The Mercy. Comr. is in agreement with the Dy. Comrs. recommendation to dispose of the Lands by an auction of the right of Kadim tenancy and reports, early orders 18 The Mercy. Comr. [illegible] that

NOTE-Continued

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No of 19 .

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

G. 3. S. III 2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 155 of 1924

Serial No.

There is no reference in the Inam registers to the said lands as Devadaya. Inam and that the omission has to be rectified now. Submitted for orders.

27.2.25 27.2.25

2) What are the Nos. which originally now as Inam to the temple which are said to have been submerged what is then extent and assessment the acquisition Reports have to be called for.

28.2.25.

0v 25/2

2)
D/- Submitted.

4.3.25 4/3/25 (12)

6 To the Mang. Commr. Issue D/- 3.25 No. 1913 dt. 11.3.25.

Enquire what the G. Nos. of the Pachhe lands in the updation Patti were originally stood as Inam to the [illegible] are said to have been resumed by H. Krishnaraja Wadiyar and what is their present assessment are, and also requested him to furnish it to this office for reply to the Legislative records.

Sms 12/3 NOTE—Contn. sheet (7)

From the Asst. Comr. letter no. C-602 dt. 6.4.1925. Submits report called for in [illegible]

WD 0561-GPB-9750-1 8 22

Serial No.

Re The member's queries in para 5 of the office-Note, the following information is furnished.

In lieu of 21½ acres of wet land in Vritti no. I of Santamanya Kundur and Kallakalli Villages, Yedatore Taluk, which were submerged by K. R. Sagara, an equal extent of land viz 21-20 were awarded in Naganahalli Village of the Mysore Taluk as Sarva Inam subject to payment of local and irrigation cesses on the Survey Wet assessment (Vide L. A. officer's memo dy 30.4.15 and the Dy. Comrs. order thereon) As orders of award were not communicated to the Inam Deptt., it is reported that there is no reference in the Inam registers to the said lands as Devadaya inam and the Inv. Comr. states that the omission will have to be rectified now.

(2) The extent of the lands granted to the temple is noted below:—

(vide next page)

GRS 1127

'ile No. of 19 .

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 155 of 19 24

Serial No.

Najarabad Village, Mysore Taluk.

S. No.		A. G.	Assessment
397	—	1-24	
398	—	1-10	
399	—	1-9	
400	—	2-3	
249	—	3-3	
396	—	~~2-35~~	
		12-4	
		0-28 Kadab	
		11-16	
253	—	2-20	
254	—	1-19	
420	—	1-9	
421	—	1-32	
424	—	1-9	
272	—	1-20	
433	—	6-15	
		9 21-20 8	

Nett Grain.

Eq. 5

(3) Re the offer of Harikar Ramanna that the temple lands may be sold to him at Rs 210/- per acre, the Inam. Comr. is of opinion that this offer does not deserve any serious consideration as he failed to conform to the conditions of the lease.

The Inam. Comr. reports that prior to 1915, the temple was getting Rs 457-7-6 and that if his proposals viz to sell the right of occupancy of the

NOTE—Cortn Sheet

10

GRS III 21

File No 151 of 19 [illegible]

General and Revenue Secretariat

Said Lands are approved, the Temporary Assistant Collector may be authorized to grant Occupancy Rights in the above mentioned Lands on payment of Rs 500/- (Rupees five hundred only) per acre.

The Asst. Collr. also recommended that the Kadim Kulkarny's right in the said Lands, may be disposed of by auction.

Submitted for orders

15/4/25

15/4/25

15.4.25

Rev. Secy.

May Govt. accept the recommendation of the Asst. Collr. and sanction the proposal.

17.4.25

389/17.4.

1st Mov.

I think that instead of disposing of the tenancy of the lands permanently for the amount of assessment as proposed, the better course would be to cancel the present tenancy of the lands and have the amount of the assessment treated as a cash grant to the institution. The lands might be granted free from present tenancy and sold by auction, the amounts realized being taken as the capital and the results reported for the orders of Govt.

(A)

If Govt. accept my view, they will pass accordingly. (If this is no objection to come to Govt. as per (A) above)

30.4.25

30/4

NOTE—Contn Sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 155 of 1925

Serial No.

Dft. S.O. Submitted
Ex
M 8/5/1925 "/5.

Jnaffe
12.5.25

Rev. Secy)
Draft
for the Comm. Rly.
16.5.25

As directed by the Asstt Secretary, a typed fair dft. together with a statement giving old S. nos and the corresponding new S. nos and the extent and assessment of lands in Rajanakatti Village in Gudalur Taluk submitted

M
21.5.25

The average wet rate in this Village is Rs 3. 11 9 The lands have not yet been assessed wet

21.5.25

Rev. Secy Draft for Comm.—
The Comm. desires that in the letter first will get the Govt's sanction for waiving the usual fee.

22/5

Rev. Secy As the case is reported Draft letter as proposed

22/5/25

NOTE—Contn Sheet

[illegible] OFFICE,
2 - MAY 1925
G. No. 8792

Vide Govt Explanation Rep 25/5

25/5/25

G.R.S. III 2]

Mercy File No. 155 of 1924.

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

671/27.5

Dewan appd.

To be submitted for Dewan's approval also before mail

27.5.25.

28.5.25

29.5.25

Dewan's approval received

29.5.25

Issue of resolution

To the Mercy Committee of Guntur Depot.

No. 1774-5 d. 7.6.25. Cancels Khasnam

Forwards the Hon'ble Minister's order by which the amount of Government being transferred to each grant to the institution, the order proceeds being credited to the institutions requests the Mercy Committee to instruct the D.S. to take necessary action and to report the result to Govt for orders

Resubmitted as desired.

8/12/25

312/17.6 Hon'ble Member

7.6.25

14.6.25

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

75 83

No. C 602/24-25 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.
in one with two folios

Dated Bangalore, 3rd December 1924.

From

K. Chandy, Esquire., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

5-DEC 1924 MUZRAI

D. 820.

To
4-DEC 1924

K. V. Anantaraman, Esquire., B.A., Muz. 155 24-1.
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit herewith copy of letter C.No.623/24-25 dated 17th November 1924 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, recommending the sale of the right of occupancy of the -- lands in Naganahalli, belonging to Sri Prasanna Nanjundeswaraswami -- temple, Santhepet, Mysore and to state as follows:--

2. The notes in this office collection R.Dis.No.37 of 22-23 herewith transmitted (X) for reference and obliging return give a connected - history of the facts of the case and the orders that have been passed till now in the matter of these lands under the orders of the previous Muzrai Commissioner the Deputy Commissioner was requested to take --- early action to lease out the lands by means of an auction sale. It is now reported that no one is willing to take up the lands for ----- cultivation either in auction sale or on any other terms under gutta tenure as the lands are situated in a tank-bed and as the main water collecting in the tank does not find a ready-out-let and as cutting out channels below an arched railway bridge is considered dangerous.

3. As Government have already discountenanced the resumption of the lands and payment of compensaton, (vide your letter No.496/M.F.33-19-5 dated 22nd August 1921) it was pointed out to the Deputy Commissioner in this office letter of the 21st November 1922, that the temple authorities having taken up the lands in lieu of those brought under

submersion

submersion, a modification of the original award was not possible.

4. Having regard to the above considerations, the Deputy Commissioner recommends the sale of the right of occupancy of the --- aforsaid lands to messrs Mohun Singh and Raghunatha Rao under the conditions enumerated in his letter. The Dharmadarsis are also agreeable.

5. I am of opinion that the terms secured by the Deputy Commissioner are advantageous to the Institution in as much as an income of Rs.470-10-0 and odd a year will be assured to it, if the half - purchase money, viz., Rs.2220-0-0 immediately paid is invested in -- 6½% Mysore Loan Bonds.

I solicit accordingly early orders of Government in the matter.

6. The Deputy Commissioner's letter is silent about the recovery of the amount due by Harikar Ramanna, late Lessee and enquiries are being made separately.

7. The file of vernacular papers is enclosed.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

K Chandy

KSR. Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.C.323/24-25 dated 15/17th November 1924 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

"With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No.C. 467/22-23 dated 18/21st November 1922 regarding the lands in Naganahally village, Mysore Taluk, belonging to the Sri Prasanna Nanjundeswaraswami temple at Santepet, Mysore City, I have the honor to state that as indicat by you, the Sub Division Officer, Mysore, was instructed to take early -- steps to lease out the lands advantageously in an auction sale.

2. From the report received from the Amildar, it is seen that no ---- one-not even a single ryot of the neighbouring villages is willing to take up the lands for cultivation either in auction sale or on any other terms under Gutta tenure, on the score that situated as they (the lands) are in the tank-bed, the rain water that finds its way to the tank does not ---- readily and easily drain out and that consequently a very heavy outlay is required to render the lands fit for cultivation.

3. I may mention in this connection, that on proposals once submitted to Government in 1921 to drain out water by cutting out channels below an arched Railway bridge on the Bangalore-Mysore Nanjangud Railway line-as that was considered to be the only feasible way to get over the difficulty Government were pleased to sanction in their Order No.268-70/Mun.644-20-2 dated 18th July 1921, an estimate for Rs.379 for the above work, with -- direction to get the work done under the supervision of the Mysore Railway Engineering authorities. The Railway authorities who were addressed on the subject, wrote in reply on 2nd April 1924 to say that on investigation of the question of cutting out channels below the arched bridge as proposed, it was found that it was dangerous to attempt excavation of ----- channels below, in as much as the foundations of the bridge might be --- undermined and might eventually collapse, for reasons stated in his ---- letter, a copy of which is enclosed to the file (Flag A)-Thus, this proposal fell through.

4. Owing to the difficulty thus felt in cultivating this land, a recommendation was made in this office letter No.C.135/18-19 dated 18/23rd October 1922, for its resumption by Government and payment of compensation to the temple and it was not also viewed favourably by you.(vide para 2 of your letter No.467/22-23 dated 18/21st November 1922)

5. It is now proposed by the temple Dharmadarsis and the Amildar that

that the lands might be sold to the intending purchasers at a cost of -- Rs.200/- or 250/- per acre, and the sale proceeds invested either in the State Loan or other Government Securities. It is further stated that one Mr. Mohum Singh, a retired Excise Inspector, Mandi Mohalla, Mysore and one Mr. Raghunatha Rao, Cloth Merchant, Chamaraj Road, Mysore --- have jointly applied for the lands in question, that they are ready to ~~kx~~ buy the entire extent (21 acres 20 guntas) if sold to them at Rs.200 per acre., that they have agreed to pay half the purchase money at once, and that for the remaining half, they ask for 5 years' time, in view ~~xx~~ of the heavy investment they have to make to render the land fit for cultivation and that till then, they are agreeable to pay interest on the sum due, at 7½% on the hypothication of the same lands in favour of theinstitution. They are further in agreement to pay to the temple a kandayam at Rs.8/- per acre annually in return for the land they get from the temple. The darakhast application received from the indivuduals -- named above offering themselves to ~~by~~ buy the lands under the conditions ---- stated is enclosed to the file (flag B)

6. In view of the circumstances explained in the preceding paragraphs, and of the difficulties experienced in arranging for the cultivation of these lands ever since they were granted to the temple in 1915-16, and the heavy loss already sustained by the institution thereby, the ------ Dharmadarsis and local officers are of unanimous opinion that the land -- might be sold at once with advantage, on the terms now offered by the -- above Dharakhastdars and the proceeds invested as ~~xxx~~ above suggested. The Taluk Amildar further states that on enquiries made locally and in the surrounding villages, he found that no one else offered a more favourable term than the one now secured for the purchase, that it is feared even if advertised for auction, the lands may not fetch more than the present -- ~~officer~~ offer and that it is advisable to give it away to the present Darakhastdars under the conditions above stated.

7. This arrangement i.e., the sale proposed, if approved, would realise a sum of Rs.4,000/- and odd to the credit of the institution. The --- interest to be realized on this, after investment, plus the amount of -- kandayam at Rs.8/- per acre which the purchasers have agreed to and are bound to pay to the temple, is ~~expected~~ expected to amount to Rs.500/- annually- an increase more than the gutta that could be expected from these lands under ordinary circumstances.

8. Having regard to the several facts and circumstances enumerated above, I recommend sanction ~~sanction~~ to the proposal made to sell the right of occupancy of the aforesaid lands under the conditions stated, right to enhancement of assessment above Rs.6/- per acre according to survey valuation at a resettlement, being reserved to the temple. The proposal merely amounts to creation of kadim tenency which is justified in the present circumstances.

9. The entire file of ~~papers~~ connected vernacular records ending with Mysore Sub Division Officer's memo No.AC.414/23-24 dated 11/12th November 1924 is submitted for favour of reference and very early --- orders."

"Copy"

For the Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

Muz 4]

SUBJECT ....

.. ..

... .

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

Muzrai Secretariat

( )

, *the* *192* .

Section Misc.

File No. 152 24

Serial No. 2

No. 963

Two files

Date of issue 2.1.25

To

The Muz. Comr.

Signed by Mr. T. Ramaiya Sir,

Adverting to your letter no. C. 602 dt 3/12/1924 recommending the sale of the right of occupancy of the land in Nagamahalli belonging to Sri Prasanna Nanjundeswara Swami temple at Santepet, Mysore, I am directed to request that you will be so good as to [illegible]

over.

information on the points noted below:—

(1) Are the lands in question now located as Devadaya inam? If so, are they fully assessment-free or subject to any jodi or quit-rent? and

(2) What is the extent and assessment of the lands? (particulars for each to be noted).

(2) The records are herewith returned for ref. & re-submn.

I have etc

23.1.24

Dy. Rec. Kadapa.

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0563—GPB—2,500—27-7-22

Muz 4]

SUBJECT ....

... .

... .

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

Section Muz.

File No. 155-24.

Serial No. 4.

Muzrai Secretariat

( ).

, *the* *192* .

No. 1007 dee

Date of issue 9-1-25 →

Signed by M T R.

To

The muz Comr

Sir,

In cont^n of this offr letter no. 963-muz. 155-24 dy 2-1-1925 calling for further particulars re the lands in Nagamakkhi belonging to Sri Prasanna Ranjanteswara Swamy Temple at Santeper, my town, I am directed to forward herewith

over.

Rec'd 9/1/25.

with your opinion early report, petition no. 1083 from the previous lessee Harikar Ramanna praying that as he has already suffered losses to an extent of Rs 2000/ said lands may be let to him at Rs 210/ or acre etc.

I have etc.

7.1.25

Dy Rev. Secretary.

86

7/1/25

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0563—GPB—2,500—27-7-22

35189

No. G 602/[illegible]

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.
Dated Bangalore, 16th February 1925.

From

K. Chandy, Esquire., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

18 FEB 1925 Mysore
To
O. D. SECTION.

SEN. & P[illegible] 18 FEB 1925 Muz[illegible]

Gr. 1367
Mucy 155-24

K. V. Anantaraman, Esquire., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department.

A.V
18/2

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.963/M.F.155-24-2 dated 2nd January 1925 in the matter of the disposal of the lands in Naganahalli belonging to Sri Prasanna Nanjundeswaraswami temple, Mysore, I have the honor to submit herewith copy of letter C.No.523/24-25 dated 30th January 1925 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, stating - that the khate of the lands in question is in the name of the Deity as Inam, that during the last resurvey and settlement the lands are classed as dry and that no Jodi or Quit-rent is fixed but only the -- Local, Railway and Irrigation cesses are being recovered on the lands.

	S.No.		Extent.
*	397	--	1-24
	398	--	1-10
	399	--	1- 9
	400	--	2- 3
	249	--	3- 3
	396	--	2-35
	253	--	2-20
	254	--	1-19
	420	--	1- 9
	421	--	1-32
	424	--	1- 9
	272	--	1-20
&	433	--	0-15

The extent and assessment of the lands are noted in the*margin.

The Inam Superintendent in Mysore - who was also written to in the matter - states that there is no reference in the Inam Registers to the said lands as --- Devadaya Inam. This omission is ---- apparently due to the fact that orders of award sanctioning these -- lands to the temple in exchange for those that were brought under -- submersion were not communicated to the Inam Department. The --- omission has to be rectified now.

2. As regards the offer of Harikar Ranganna to buy the lands at Rs.210/- per acre etc., and referred to in your letter No.1009/M.F. 155-24-4 dated 9th January 1925 I beg to state that on [illegible]

similar petition presented to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District the latter states in his letter dated 7th January 1925 (copy enclosed) that, if approved, an auction of right of kadim tenancy in respect of these lands among the applicants will be held, subject to conditions already reported.

As Ramanna failed to conform to the conditions of the lease granted to him previously and as he is in arrears to the extent of Rs.166-0-9, I am of opinion that his offer does not deserve any ---- serious consideration individually.

I am in agreement with the Deputy Commissioner's recommendation to dispose of the lands by an auction of the right of kadim tenancy ie, (really) the right to hold the land subject to payment of assessment only subject to the conditions specified in my letter of the 4th December 1924.

As the Deputy Commissioner reports that it is now the season for effecting improvements to the lands and as one year's revenue will be lost if the lands are not disposed of promptly, I solicit the --- favour of early orders in the matter.

3. The file of vernacular papers is resubmitted.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K Heaudey

Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

Letter No.O.323/24-25 dated29/30th January 1925 from the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

"With reference to your memo No.C.602/24-25 dated 12th January 1925 requesting certain further details in respect of the lands at Nagandahalli village, Mysore Taluk, belonging to the Sri Prasanna Nanjundeswaraswamy temple at Santhepet, Mysore, I have the honor to state that the Amildar of Mysore Taluk reports in his No.C.R.96/24-25 dated 17th January 1925 to the effect that the khate of the lands referred to in your letter -- under reply is in the name of the deity as Inam, that during the last resurvey and settlement, the lands are classed under "dry" head, that no Jodi or quit rent is fixed, but only the Local, Railway and Irrigation cesses are being recovered on the said lands. The Amildar further states that the lands are still banjar and recommends the same to be sold. His report is enclosed in original for reference."

"C o p y"

KSR.

for Muzrai Commissioner. 17/2/25

Letter No.C.323/24-25 dated 7th January 1925 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

"In continuation of this office letter No.C.323 of 24-25 dated the 14/17th November 1924 soliciting order re. the disposal of the lands at Naganahally belonging to the Sri Prasanna Kanjundeswaraswamy temple at Santepet, Mysore City, I have the honor to forward herewith petition registered No.C.323/24-25 dated 3-1-25 received from Sarkar Rammanna, the former lessee of the above lands, praying for the grant of the aforesaid lands to him at an upset price of Rs.210/- per acre, and to state that if approved, an auction of right of kadim tenancy in respect of these lands among the applicants will be held, subject to conditions already reported. The favour of very early orders is -- solicited."

"C o p y"

for Muzrai Commissioner. 7/1/25

KSR.

G. R. S. VI-G-32]

B50—WD 0527

SUBJECT

Diary No. of letter replied to

Section Mun

File No. 155-24

Serial No. 6

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the *192* .

No. 1313

one file

Date of issue 11 · 3 · 24

To

The Inuz. Comr.

Signed by Ms T. R.

Sir,

Adverting to correspondence with your letter no. C-602 dt 16th July 1925 in the matter of the disposal of the lands in Nagamahalli belonging to Sri Prasanna Ranjinidevamma Swamy temple Mysore, I am directed to enquire as to what the S. nos of the kathi lands in the yedatore Taluk were which originally stood as inam to the temple and which are said to have been submerged by Krishna

over

Raja Sagara

and what their extent are
and assessment &c, Lands
& request that the acquisition
records may be transmitted to this Office
for ref
(2) the records are returned for
ref. & return

I have etc.

5/3/25

(Sgd) Rev Secretary.

Bh
24/3/25

41995

No. C 602 of 24 25 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.
enc one f Recds

Dated Bangalore, 1st April 1925.

From

C. S. Palasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

To

V. Anantaraman, Esquire., B.A.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.1313/M.F.155-24-6 dated -- 11th March 1925 in the matter of the disposal of the lands in ---- Naganahalli belonging to Sri Prasanna Nanjundeswaraswami temple, Santhepet, Mysore, I have thehonor to forward herewith copy of -- letter C.No.323/24-25 dated 27th March 1925 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, furnishing the information required by you and adding that by the present proposals the temple would -- derive an annual income of Rs.500/- besides what is realized at the sale of the lease against Rs.457-7-6 the temple was getting prior to 1915. In the award statement it is clearly stated that the exchange lands should be treated as Sarva Inam subject to payment of Local and irrigation cesses in accordance with the rules in -- force.

2. The acquisition records and the file of vernacular papers bearing on the subject are submitted for reference.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

Letter No.Ref.323/24-25 dated 27th March 1925 from the Deputy -- Commissioner, Mysore District tothe Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

"As desired in your letter No.C.602/24-25 dated 13/14th March 1925 I have the honor to forward herewith two lists (one old and other new) received with Mysore Taluk Amildar's report No.109/24-25 dated 20-3-25 furnishing details of Survey Nos.extent and assessment of the Vritti -- lands in Yedatore Taluk which originally stood as Inam to the Sri Prasanna Nanjundeswaraswamy temple at Santhepet, Mysore City.

It is seen from the file received with the above report, that there was an annual income of Rs.457-7-6 from these vritties to the temple, -- prior to 1915 before their submersion under Cauvery Reservoir Scheme.

By the present proposals for the disposal of the exchange lands granted to the temple as per this office letter No.C.323/24-25 dated 14/ 17th November 1924, the temple would derive an annual income of Rs.500 besides what is realised at the sale of the lease.

The acquisition records in respect of the Yedatore Vritties ----- originally endowed to the temple received with special land Acquisition

Officer's

Officer's letter No.R.H.3.339/24-25 dated 22nd March 1925 are forwarde herewith together with the entire file of the connected vernacular --- records on the subject."

"C o p y"

For Mukral Commissioner.

R.R.

Proceedings of the Government of H. H.
the Maharaja of Mysore.

-.

Read.-

Letter No. C.602 dated 3-12-1924 from the Muz.Commr. recommending the sale of the right of occupancy of the Inam lands in Naganahalli, Mysore Taluk, belonging to Sri Prasanna Nanjundeswaraswamy temple at Santepet, Mysore.

2 Petition dated 29-12-1924 from Harikar Ramanna, previous lessee of the said lands praying that the lands may be sold to him at Rs. 210/- per acre.

Correspondence ending with letter No.C. 602 dated 6-4-1925 from the Muz. Commr. on the subject.

Order No. 1774-5 Dated 9. 7 —
Muz.155-24-8

The Inam lands in Kundur and Kallahalli villages in the Yedatore Taluk endowed to Sri Prasanna Nanjundeswaraswamy temple at Santepet, Mysore City, having been submerged by the Krishna Raja Sagar lands measuring 21 acres & 20 guntas ~~and~~ forming the ~~bed~~ tank-bed of the Naganahally village, Anandur Hobli, Mysore Taluk, were given in exchange and the Deputy Commr. was requested to take early action to lease out the lands by auction. It is now reported that no one is willing to take up these lands for cultivation ~~either in auction sale or on any other terms~~ on gutta ~~tenure~~ as the lands are situated in a tank-bed and as the rain water collecting ~~in the tank~~ does not find a ready out-let. It is stated that Messrs.

Mohun Singh and Raghunatha Rao of Mysore have however jointly offered to buy the entire extent of 21 acres and 20 guntas at Rs.200/- per acre ~~&c~~. and have further agreed to pay to the temple Kandayam at Rs. 8/- per acre annually. Both the Deputy Commr. and the Muzrai Commr. recommended the sale of the right of the occupancy of the aforesaid lands permanently to Messrs. Mohun Singh and Raghunatha Rao ~~under~~ on these conditions. One Harikar Ramanna who was the previous lessee but did not act up to the conditions of his contract has applied to Govt. that as he was the previous lessee of these lands, they may be sold to him at Rs. 210/- per acre, The Muz. Commr. is of opinion that Ramanna's offer does not deserve any secious consideration as he failed to conform to the conditions of his original lease.

2. Govt. are of opinion that instead of disposing of the tenancy of the lands permanently for the amount of assessment as proposed, the better course will be to cancel the Inam tenure of the lands and have the amount of the assessment treated as a cash grant to the institution. The lands freed from Inam tenure may be sold by auction ~~for the benefit of the Institution,~~ the amount offered by Messrs. Mohun Singh and Raghunatha Rao being taken as the ~~upset~~ first bid. The Muz. Commr. and the sale proceeds credited to Inam Institution is requested to instruct the Dy. Commr. to take necessary action accordingly and report the result to Govt. for orders.

21.5.15

Revenue Secretary.

To

The Muz. Commr. in Mysore (With the enclosures).